

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,097	997 10/10/2003 Laxmi C. Tandon		205017-9010	5500
1131	7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLC 401 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			YEE, DEBORAH	
SUITE 1900 CHICAGO, IL 60611-4212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	1742

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

15

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/685,097	LAXIMI ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Deborah Yee	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· _•	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
555 the attached detailed office delicit for a list t	a coranea copies not receive	<b></b> .					
Attachment(s)							
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/685,097 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence et al (US Patent 5948353).
- 3. Lawrence on lines 25 to 51 of column 2 discloses a gray cast iron alloy having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by claims 1 to 37; such overlap renders applicant's composition prima facie obvious because it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the claimed alloy ranges from the broader disclosure of the prior art since the prior art has the same utility (brake drum) and similar properties of high strength and wear resistance. See MPEP 2144.05.
- 4. Moreover, even though prior art does not teach Type A flake graphite and carbon equivalent of 4.1 to 4.25% as recited by one or more of the claims, such would be expected since the compositional limitations are closely met and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 5. Although Lawrence preferably teaches 0.2 to 0.5%Cr, its broad teaching does not include Cr and hence would meet applicants' dependent claims reciting less than 0.5%Cr. Furthermore, Lawrence does not teach Mg and therefore would be present at

Application/Control Number: 10/685,097 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

impurity levels similar to less than 0.005% or less than 0.001% Mg recited by applicants dependent claims.

- 6. Applicants' claims 19 and 34 to 37 recite a specific gray iron alloy composition that falls within the broad alloy wt% ranges of the Lawrence alloy. Hence claims would not patentably distinguish over prior art.
- 7. The unapplied references have been cited to further depict the state of the art in grey cast iron alloys.
- 8. In applicant's specification on page 14, Table 1 list comparative test materials without disclosing the compositions. It is requested by examiner that applicant provide the compositions to gain a better understanding of the test data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,097 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).